UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/427,123	10/21/1999	MICHAEL B. BALL	ROUND 3.0-121 RE CON	2755
58907 ROUND	7590 04/27/201	1	EXAMINER	
	VID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP	POTTER, ROY KARL		
	600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/27/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply		BALL, MICHAEL Art Unit 2822 correspondence ad	В.
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	Roy K. Potter pears on the cover sheet with the cover	2822	
Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c		
Period for Reply		correspondence ad	
	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>з</u> MONTH(dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 (</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 13-35 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,35 and 113 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of th	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

DETAILED ACTION

At claim 1 line 7, "diebonding" has already been changed to "die-bonding" in application serial no. 08610127 (now RE36613) and this is a continuation of that application.

At claim 1 line 20, "encapsulated" has already been changed to "encapsulation" in application serial no. 08610127 (now RE36613) and this is a continuation of that application. These changes cannot be made again. Similar problems exist in claim 2.

Reissue Applications/ Recapture

A reissue patent will not be granted to "recapture" claimed subject matter which was "surrendered" to obtain an original patent. As pointed out in MPEP § 1412.02, a patentee cannot recapture, in a reissue application, claims that are of (a) an equal scope, or (b) a broader scope, than the claim subject matter "canceled" from the original application in favor of more specific claims, in order to overcome an art rejection. As further pointed out in MPEP § 1412.02, surrender can occur through arguments alone (without claim amendment in the application) -"surrendered subject matter" will be considered to have "crept into the reissue claim" where the patentee presents in the reissue application a claim that excludes the claim limitation(s) which applicant had <u>argued</u> to distinguish over the art in obtaining the patent. These two principles are the base-line for recapture which is set forth in MPEP § 1412.02.

Art Unit: 2822

Claims 1-2 and 13 – 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Pannu v. Storz Instruments Inc.*, 258 F.3d 1366, 59 USPQ2d 1597 (Fed. Cir. 2001); *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Clement*, 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp.* v. *United States*, 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to claim subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application.

Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope of claim subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

A reissue application will not be permitted to "recapture" claimed subject matter deliberately canceled in the original application. Here the original application was serial number 08/043503 which resulted in Patent No.5291061. In Applicant's amendment and arguments dated 9/24/93 in application serial no. 08043503, Applicant added and argued the patentability of "first thin adhesive layer of about 0.001 inches" for claim 1 and "first thin adhesive layer of about 0.001 to 0.005 inches" for claim 2 in order to overcome the art rejection of 7/15/93.

Omitting these limitations now, as Applicant has done in all pending independent claims 1, 2, 13, 22 and 28, amounts to improper recapture.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy K. Potter whose telephone number is 571 272 1842. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zandra Smith can be reached on 571 272 2429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roy K Potter/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2822